#### NRF, 27<sup>th</sup> October 2009





#### The influence of patch burning in the Thornbush savanna

#### **By.Lahja Tjilumbu and Ibo Zimmermann**





Federal Ministry of Education and Research



## Introduction

- Natural fires used to burn occasionally
- Such as after exceptionally good rains
- Human interference mostly suppresses fire
- The option exists to apply the occasional fire in a controlled way for different objectives
- Such as to control excessive bushes
- Or to enhance biodiversity and productivity, if only patches are burnt
- The occasional use of fire may maintain healthy and productive rangeland conditions.
- Fire may provide an inexpensive grazing redistribution tool.

## **Introduction continued**

- This study falls under the Biodiversity Transect Analysis in Africa (BIOTA) program, to make use of opportunities provided by innovative farmers
- It measures fire effects on five patches of rangeland over 3 farms in Namibia's Thornbush savanna.

### **Treatments measured**

## Grazed firebreak,<br/>Only on Farm ABurnt





## Plants closest to sample points were marked, measured & re-measured

- Perennial grass of >5cm basal diameter (Greatest basal diameter & at right angles)
- Woody plant of >0.5m height (Height, greatest canopy diameter & at right angles)
- Woody plant of <0.5m height (")</li>
- Seedling of woody plant







#### Coordinates of marked plants noted from uniform distances along permanent transects to assist re-finding them



## Intercept lengths of cattle and game dung also measured



## Laying hay that trains cattle to respect the electric fence,



the moveable electric fence is used later to graze the firebreak of 30 – 40m width, on Farm A



## Head fires were applied in late dry season of 2007 ...



.. to a patch of about 10ha on farm A and about 130ha on farms, B and C

## Results

- This is a 3 year study and some data analyses are still to be done such as for regrowth.
- There was almost no mortality of taller bushes.
- The fire only reduced their median height by 2% on farm A, 72% on farm B and 68% on farm C

# Median change in height and canopy diameter of *A.mellifera*



- A number of smaller plants died, even in the unburnt controls, probably due to the exceptionally long dry season in which the fires were applied
- In the case of Acacia mellifera, only one seedling (3%) survived in the unburnt zone, while 65% of saplings survived, with no clear pattern of difference between zones among the three farms



Most Acacia mellifera seedlings died from drought, even on unburnt zones on all three farms







#### Stump of burnt A. mellifera seedling

#### **Fixed-point photos on Farm C**







#### **August 2007**

#### October 2007

#### February 2008

### Effects on Eragrostis rigidior



## **Soil sampling for bioassay**



### **Nutrient hotspots from burns**

Radish







## Dung cover one year after burn at farm A

 Dung cover was 7 times higher in the firebreak and 3 times higher in the burnt patch



## Conclusions

- The fires appear to have increased mortality of smaller plants, many of which seemed to have died from drought
- The use of fire after a premature end to the rainy season may be inappropriate for most rangeland management objectives
- Effective fire management requires regular control to keep out wild fires ..
- .. and infrequent application of strategically timed burning, rather than regular application of fire

### **Benefits**

- Control of bush encroachment
- Invigoration of moribund grass
- Recruitment of perennial grasses
- Increase in biodiversity
- Restoring rangeland heterogeneity for better resilience

### **Risks involved**

- Accidental spread of fire
- Possibility of insufficient rain after the fire for proper recovery of the burnt grass, as appears to have occurred on Farm A
- Fire also consumes organic matter

## **Big thanks to:**

- The innovative farmers
- Polytechnic students who helped
- BIOTA for funding
- Polytechnic of Namibia
- Thank you for your attention